

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,225	11/29/2001	Osamu Kobayashi	YPO0031	1325
75	590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael S. Gzybowski			MULCAHY, PETER D	
Buzel Long 350 South Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 300			1713	
Ann Arbor, MI 48104			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisom, Advisom	09/980,225	KOBAYASHI ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of B FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	, ,	·			
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancel	•	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>The reduction of species in the markush</u>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	:(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by f	the Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:		Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)